UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

MARTHA THURMOND,

CIVIL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff,

CASE NO. 4:19-cv-04610

CREDIT CONTROL, LLC,

v.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

NOW comes MARTHA THURMOND ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd. ("Sulaiman"), complaining as to the conduct of CREDIT CONTROL, LLC ("Defendant"), as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiff brings this action for damages pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") under 15 U.S.C. §1692 *et seq.*, and the Texas Debt Collection Act ("TDCA") under Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392 *et seq.*, for Defendant's unlawful conduct.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. This action arises under and is brought pursuant to the FDCPA. Subject matter jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 15 U.S.C §1692, 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1337, as the action arises under the laws of the United States. Supplemental jurisdiction exists for Plaintiff's state law claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 as Defendant conducts business in the Southern District of Texas and a substantial portion the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred within the Southern District of Texas.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff is a consumer over 18 years-of-age residing in Montgomery County, Texas, which is located within the Southern District of Texas.
- 5. Defendant promotes that its "history in the collections industry started in 1989 and currently serves over 450 clients." Defendant is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Missouri, with its principal place of business located at 5757 Phantom Drive, Suite 330, Hazelwood, Missouri 63042.
- 6. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives and insurers at all times relevant to the instant action.

FACTS SUPPORTING CAUSES OF ACTION

- 7. The instant action stems from Defendant's attempts to collect upon a defaulted credit card debt ("subject consumer debt") that Plaintiff purportedly owes to Department Stores National Bank ("DSNB").
- 8. On or around October 11, 2019, Defendant mailed or caused to be mailed a collection letter in an attempt to collect upon the subject consumer debt.
- 9. In its October 11th letter, Defendant outlined that the balance due under the subject consumer debt was \$1,702.73.

¹ https://www.credit-control.com/about-us/who-we-are/

- 10. Moreover, in its October 11th letter, Defendant also offered to settle the subject consumer debt for \$681.09 ("settlement offer").
- 11. Consequently, Defendant's settlement offer, if accepted, would have resulted in debt forgiveness totaling \$1,021.64.
- 12. However, Defendant failed to inform Plaintiff of the tax consequences of accepting its settlement offer.
- 13. By failing to inform Plaintiff of the tax consequences of accepting its settlement offer, Defendant deceptively obfuscated the negative effects to Plaintiff of accepting its settlement offer.
- 14. Accordingly, Plaintiff spoke with Sulaiman regarding the correspondence, resulting in pecuniary loss and expenditure of resources.
- 15. Plaintiff has suffered concrete harm as a result of Defendant's actions, including but not limited to, invasion of privacy, confusion, and aggravation.

COUNT I – VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 16. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 15 as though full set forth herein.
- 17. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(3) of the FDCPA.
- 18. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by §1692a(6) of the FDCPA, because it regularly use the mail and/or the telephone to collect, or attempt to collect, delinquent consumer accounts.
- 19. Defendant is engaged in the business of collecting or attempting to collect, directly or indirectly, defaulted debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due to others. Defendant has been a member of the Association of Credit and Collection Professionals, an association of debt collectors, since 2007.²

.

² https://www.acainternational.org/search#memberdirectory

20. The subject consumer debt is a "debt" as defined by FDCPA §1692a(5) as it arises out of a transaction due or asserted to be due to another for personal, family, or household purposes.

a. Violations of FDCPA § 1692e

- 21. The FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, prohibits a debt collector from using "any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt."
 - 22. In addition, this section enumerates specific violations, such as:

"The use of any false representation or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt or to obtain information concerning a consumer." 15 U.S.C. §1692e(10).

23. Defendant violated §1692e and e(10) when it used deceptive means to collect and/or attempt to collect the subject consumer debt. Specifically, it was deceptive for Defendant to obfuscate the tax consequences of its settlement offer. Defendant purported to only convey a benefit to Plaintiff by making its settlement offer without warning her of the tax implications of accepting its settlement offer. Therefore, Defendant's goal was to deceptively induce Plaintiff into making a payment by purporting that Plaintiff would only be benefited if she accepted the settlement offer.

b. Violations of FDCPA § 1692f

- 24. The FDCPA, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f, prohibits a debt collector from using "unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt."
- 25. Defendant violated §1692f when it unfairly attempted to collect upon the subject consumer debt. Any reasonable fact finder will conclude that Defendant acted unfairly when it designed its October 11th collection letter to conceal the tax consequences of accepting its settlement offer. Defendant's actions only served to worry and confuse Plaintiff.

26. As pled in paragraphs 14 and 15, Plaintiff has been harmed and suffered damages as a result of Defendant's illegal actions.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MARTHA THURMOND, respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in her favor as follows:

- a. Declaring that the practices complained of herein are unlawful and violate the aforementioned bodies of law;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff statutory damages of \$1,000.00 as provided under 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, as provided under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- d. Awarding Plaintiff costs and reasonable attorney fees as provided under 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3);
- e. Enjoining Defendant from further contacting Plaintiff; and
- f. Awarding any other relief as this Honorable Court deems just and appropriate.

COUNT II – VIOLATIONS OF THE TEXAS DEBT COLLECTION ACT

- 27. Plaintiff restates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 26 as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(1).
- 29. Defendant is a "[t]hird-party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001 (7).
- 30. The subject consumer debt is a "consumer debt" as defined by Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.001(2) as it is an obligation, or alleged obligation, arising from a transaction for personal, family, or household purposes.

a. Violations of TDCA § 392.304

31. The TDCA, pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.304(19) prohibits a debt collector from

"using any . . . false representation or deceptive means to collect a debt or obtain information

concerning a consumer."

32. Defendant violated the TDCA by employing false representations and deceptive means to

induce Plaintiff into submitting a payment towards the subject consumer debt. It was deceptive for

Defendant to fail to advise Plaintiff of the tax implications of accepting its settlement agreement.

Defendant's October 11th letter purported to only convey a benefit to Plaintiff if she accepted the

settlement offer. Nonetheless, accepting Defendant's offer would have resulted in a taxable event

for Plaintiff, which Defendant concealed from Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MARTHA THURMOND, respectfully requests that this Honorable

Court enter judgment in her favor as follows:

a. Declaring that the practices complained of herein are unlawful and violate the

aforementioned statutes and regulations;

b. Entitling Plaintiff to injunctive relief pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(1);

c. Awarding Plaintiff actual damages, pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403(a)(2);

d. Awarding Plaintiff punitive damages, in an amount to be determined at trial, for the

underlying violations;

e. Awarding Plaintiff costs and reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to Tex. Fin. Code Ann. §

392.403(b);

f. Enjoining Defendant from further contacting Plaintiff; and

g. Awarding any other relief as this Honorable Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: November 25, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Nathan C. Volheim

Nathan C. Volheim, Esq. #6302103

Counsel for Plaintiff

Admitted in the Southern District of Texas

Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.

s/Taxiarchis Hatzidimitriadis

Taxiarchis Hatzidimitriadis, Esq. #6319225

Counsel for Plaintiff

Admitted in the Southern District of Texas

Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.

6

2500 South Highland Ave., Suite 200 Lombard, Illinois 60148 (630) 568-3056 (phone) (630) 575-8188 (fax) nvolheim@sulaimanlaw.com

s/ Eric D. Coleman

Eric D. Coleman, Esq. # 6326734

Counsel for Plaintiff

Admitted in the Southern District of Texas

Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.

2500 South Highland Ave., Suite 200

Lombard, Illinois 60148

(331) 307-7648 (phone)

(630) 575-8188 (fax)

ecoleman@sulaimanlaw.com

2500 South Highland Ave., Suite 200 Lombard, Illinois 60148 (630) 581-5858 (phone) (630) 575-8188 (fax) thatz@sulaimanlaw.com

s/Alejandro E. Figueroa

Alejandro E. Figueroa, Esq. #6323891

Counsel for Plaintiff

Admitted in the Southern District of Texas

Sulaiman Law Group, Ltd.

2500 South Highland Ave., Suite 200

Lombard, Illinois 60148

(630) 575-8181 ext. 120 (phone)

(630) 575-8188 (fax)

alejandrof@sulaimanlaw.com